

Field Paleoradiography of Skeletal Material from  
Early Classic Period of Copan, Honduras

Kenneth C. Nystrom<sup>1</sup>  
Ethan M. Braunstein<sup>2</sup>  
Jane E. Buikstra<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anthropology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131

<sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ 85259 and Department of  
Anthropology, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011

Contact info: Kenneth C. Nystrom  
Department of Anthropology  
University of New Mexico  
Albuquerque, NM 87131  
knystrom@unm.edu

**Keywords:** Orthogonal Radiographs, Copan, Paleoradiography

**Running Title:** Field Paleoradiography

Published in: Field Paleoradiography of Skeletal Material from Early Classic Period of Copan,  
Honduras. Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal. 55(4): 246-253.

## **ABSTRACT**

The inclusion of paleoradiography in a research project vastly enhances our understanding of prehistoric life histories, extending research possibilities beyond the diagnosis of skeletal or soft-tissue pathologies. As part of a larger interdisciplinary research project, the skeletal remains of two elite individuals from the Early Classic Period of Copan were subjected to radiographic evaluation; K'inich Yak K'uk Mo (Hunal Burial 95-2), founder of the Early Classic Dynasty, and the primary female interment from the Motmot tomb (Burial 37-8). Previous work documented individuals for visible changes in long bone structure associated with reduced activity levels or pathology were documented. The goals of radiographic analysis included documentation of additional pathologies and subsequent changes in long bone density due to physiological/functional adaptation such as disuse atrophy. Further, we were able to calculate long bone cross-sectional geometric properties, allowing us to quantify these possible changes in cortical bone distribution due to trauma. The research highlights the potential that paleoradiography can have for a research project beyond the assessment of pathology. In particular, the adaptability of the technology for both field and laboratory settings is demonstrated.

The site of Copan is located in the southeastern periphery of the Maya world in modern day Honduras (Figure 1). The chronology of Copan is divided into three periods; the Protoclassic, or Predynastic Period (ca. A.D. 100 – 400), the Early Classic (ca. A.D. 400 – 600), and the Late Classic (ca. A.D. 600 – 850). The skeletal remains of the two elite individuals analyzed in the current study date to the Early Classic Period.

The Principal Group in Copan represents the remains of the Classic Maya capital. The Principal Group is divided into northern plazas and a complex of superimposed structures to the south. The Temple of the Hieroglyphic Stairway (Structure 10L – 26) and a ball court mark the transition between the northern and southern sectors. The Acropolis is divided into two courts by Structure 10L – 25 (The Dance Platform) and Structure 10L – 16. The latter is the highest point in the Principal Group and represents a pivotal period in the history of the Acropolis <1>.

Located in the earliest levels of the Acropolis, the Hunal Tomb (Burial 95 – 2), based upon stratigraphic, ceramic, and epigraphic evidence, has been dated to ca. A.D. 400 – 450 <2>. The remains appear to have initially rested upon a reed mat and were accompanied by shell and jade ornaments, bone implements, and ceramics <2>. The skeletal remains have been attributed to K'inich Yak K'uk Mo', founder of the Early Classic Dynasty of Copan, who arrived and consolidated power within the Copan Valley in AD 426 <3-5>.

Excavations below Structure 10L – 26 <6> uncovered the Early Classic Period Motmot Tomb. A young female was the principal interment in the Motmot tomb (Burial 37 – 8). Based upon iconography found on a circular marker associated with the tomb, it was constructed during the reign of the son and successor of Yak K'ak Mo' <6>. The skeletal remains from this tomb included the principal female interment, the focus of this research, and at least one “trophy skull” <4, 5, 7-9>. Associated material artifacts suggest that the principal Motmot interment may have

been a day-keeper, an individual associated with divination and curing <6>.

Recent studies by Buikstra and colleagues <10, 11> documented remarkable evidence of blunt force trauma among these elite individuals. Radiography added further detail to interpretations derived from the gross evaluation of pathology <10>, while also addressing a number of specific research questions. At the most basic level we were concerned with documenting pathological processes that may not have an externally visible manifestation. Secondly, the radiographs allowed us to assess any post-traumatic changes in bone structure that may be evidence of individual-specific forms of pathology and activity-related changes such as disuse atrophy. Based upon the assumption that the distribution of diaphyseal cortical bone reflects levels and patterns of habitual biomechanical stress <12, 13>, we also investigated changes in long bone cross-sectional geometric properties subsequent to the observed trauma.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS: RADIOGRAPHY**

Radiographs were taken using a Soyee Products Inc. portable veterinary x-ray unit. Distance, lead aprons, and isolation ensured researcher safety. The research project, which included both a field laboratory setting (Motmot Burial 37-8) and tunnels within the Acropolis (Hunal Burial 95 – 2), precluded the use of conventional radiographic film. Additionally, there were no facilities for loading or processing of standard film readily available. Therefore 8 x 10 inch sheets of 800 speed Polapan 803 Polaroid film, a black and white photographic film, were used. Polaroid film has a number of advantages over conventional radiographic film when dealing with field conditions <14> including instant assessment of exposure and positioning and sharp, positive images (Figure 2A and B). Disadvantages, however, include the limited size of the film, which at times necessitated multiple exposures to capture long bone images. Even so,

the use of Polaroid film was determined to be the best course of action. Exposures were generally set at 80 kV/15 mA while the focal film distance was approximately 40 inches (100 cm). The latter was maintained through the use of a collapsible x-ray stand constructed from electrical conduit piping and PVC fittings.

Power fluctuations at both locations made it difficult to maintain the above settings, requiring increased exposure time. Further, a number of the elements were almost completely covered in cinnabar. Cinnabar, a naturally occurring form of mercury, is radiodense and at times was so ubiquitously applied that the resulting radiographs were nondiagnostic.

Standardized anterior-posterior and medial-lateral radiographs were taken <15, 16>. Skeletal elements were stabilized with foam in order to maintain position such that the plane of interest was parallel to the film. Given the curved nature of the humerus, radiographic magnification was not consistent throughout the bone. An average magnification rate of 3% was assumed and subsequently used to adjust the cross-sectional properties. Bone length was determined using a portable osteometric board, while biomechanical length <13> was measured directly from the radiographs. Measurements, utilizing Mitutoyo Digital Calipers, were taken directly from the radiographs at 35% (mid-distal), 50% (midshaft), and 65% (mid-proximal) of biomechanical bone length. Measurement error throughout all three trials ranged from 0.01 mm to 0.57 mm. Measurement error tends to be larger for endosteal areas <16>. Given that the measurement error was small, they should not significantly affect the calculation of biomechanical properties. Further, since measurement errors will affect both sides equally, right-left intra-individual comparisons should remain robust.

## **PATHOLOGY ASSESMENT**

## **Yak K'uk Mo'**

Buikstra et al. <10> documented a number of traumatic lesions of the skeletal remains of Yax K'uk Mo' including a parry fracture of the right forearm, altered sterno-clavicular articulations, significant bony alterations to the left shoulder girdle, and other less extreme insults.

Injury to the right radius of Yak K'uk Mo' did not result in disuse atrophy of that element (Figure 3A and b). Cortical density remains normal and there is clear gross and radiographic evidence for the development of arthritic lipping at the articular surfaces. The bony callus is mature, indicating that the fracture was stabilized and that the healing process was no longer active at the time of death. Conversely, the fragments of the ulna did not heal properly (Figure 4a and 4b) and the two fragments are quite radiolucent, suggesting disuse atrophy. The radius may have acted as an internal splint, preventing significant movement at the site.

The distinctive reaction of the cortical bone of the radius and ulna is interesting in the light of results from experimental pig and dog long bone osteotomies <17, 18>. This research suggests that the rendering of either the radius or the ulna useless results in diaphyseal hypertrophy of the unaffected bone. The extension of these results to the current discussion, however, must be tempered by the fact that the radius and ulna are weight bearing bones in the above animals and will therefore be more susceptible to shifts in compressive and tensile loads.

The sterno-clavicular articulations were displaced laterally, forming pseudo-articular facets (Figure 5). Further, these articulations also displayed exuberant cartilaginous ossification. The thinned caudal portion of the gladiolus was depressed, causing the superior portion to project ventrally, displacing the gladiolus/manubrium articulation to the dorsal surface of the gladiolus, the apparent result of blunt force trauma to this region.

The most significant bony restructuring involved the left shoulder girdle (Figure 6). The superior third of the glenoid fossa and the coracoid process of the scapula were together separated from the body of the scapula. The fracture was not reduced and the bone fragments never reunited. Gross examination <10> noted marked arthritic changes at the shoulder joint, with pronounced arthritic development and eburnation of the inferior third of the humeral head (Figure 7).

### **Motmot**

Despite her gracile appearance <10> there is no evidence to suggest that the Motmot principal interment suffered from deossification, or suffered cortical or trabecular bone loss due to disuse atrophy. The only radiographically visible insult was a parry fracture of the right ulna (Figure 8). Cortical and trabecular bone thickness appear normal. There was no displacement of the ulnar fragments and the fracture healed in near perfect anatomical alignment. The midshaft bony callus is well integrated and was not actively remodeling at the time of death. It may be that the fracture was splinted <11>.

### **BIOMECHANICAL PROPERTIES**

The use of orthogonal radiographs to estimate cross-sectional biomechanical properties is a viable and robust methodology in circumstances when the bone cannot be sectioned or CT equipment is unavailable <19, 20>. Cross-sectional geometric properties, including cortical area (CA), medullary area (MA), and total subperiosteal area (TA) were calculated. Cortical areas were scaled to bone length<sup>3</sup> <21-23>. Orthogonal radiographs do not allow the estimation of the maximum and minimum second moments of area,  $I_{max}$  and  $I_{min}$  <20>, but second moments of

area,  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$ , may be estimated.  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$  measure the bending rigidity of a bone in relation to the frontal and sagittal plane of axis respectively. While low values for second moments of area indicate that bone is distributed close to the central or neutral axis of a cross section, high reflect bone distribution relatively far from the central axis. High second moment values also reflect greater strength and ability to resist mechanical forces <24>. Finally, percent cortical area (%CA), a measure of the strength of a long bone under axial loading relative to total area (TA), was calculated. Cortical areas (CA, MA, TA) were standardized by biomechanical length<sup>3</sup> while the second moments of area ( $I_X$ ,  $I_Y$ , J) were standardized by biomechanical length<sup>4</sup> and biomechanical length<sup>5,33</sup>.

## CONCLUSIONS

The utilization of radiography in the assessment of the skeletal material from the Acropolis of Early Classic Copan allowed us to greatly expand our research objectives and interpretive possibilities. The radiographs more precisely documented pathological processes of both individuals and changes in bone structure due to trauma that may show activity-related changes such as disuse atrophy.

While Yak K'uk Mo' may have had normal cortical bone density <11>, the cortical bone is thin when compared to other groups. The very low %CA values calculated for Yak K'uk Mo' (Table 1) suggest that bones may not have been strongly resistant to axial loading, though %CA by itself is not a reliable indicator of functional and mechanical demands <25>. Despite low values %CA, the second moments of area (Table 1) suggest that cortical bone was redistributed away from the neutral axis of both humerus, indicating substantial resistance to bending and torsional loadings <25>. Measures of medullary area support this conclusion (Table 1).



Further, comparison of Yak K'uk Mo's right and the left humeri suggests some interesting differences. There is a large degree of asymmetry between the humeri for %CA, by as much as 14%, suggesting that the cortical bone thickness is significantly thinner in the right humerus. Except at the mid-proximal location, measures of MA and TA are larger in the right humerus, indicating a relatively expanded medullary space and total subperiosteal area. The asymmetry values also reflect this difference (Table 3).

We must also consider the advanced age of Yak K'uk Mo' (>50 years) <10> when we consider changes in bone geometry due to trauma. There is an age-progressive loss of CA coupled with increases in TA and MA that together serve to maintain bone strength <26, 27>. The rate of endosteal bone loss exceeds subperiosteal bone deposition after 40 years of age, resulting in both overall bone loss with age <24, 16>, and bone redistribution in order to maintain resistance to mechanical forces <12>. It is likely that with trauma-induced disuse atrophy of the right forearm, that the loss of cortical area was accelerated in the right humerus relative to the left humerus.

The restructuring of the left shoulder girdle more than likely resulted in radical structural and functional changes. While this fracture could have contributed to degenerative changes and paralysis, there is no evidence of disuse atrophy in the left upper limb, and moderate arthritic development in the elbow, wrist, and hand. The high values for  $I_x$  at the left mid-proximal humerus (Table 1) are interesting in that this is the region most intimately associated with the fracture of the coracoid process and glenoid fossa. The primary function of the muscles that originate on the coracoid process involve movement in the anteroposterior plane, therefore the high  $I_x$  value at this location, which suggests increased resistance to anteroposterior loadings, is

a somewhat anomalous result. Physiologically, this suggests near normal activity despite the separation of the bony elements, but perhaps with a limited range of mobility.

As described by Buikstra et al. <10>, the skeletal remains of Motmot are quite gracile. Motmot did not suffer from osteoporosis, osteopenia, or from any disuse atrophy which might account for her slight skeleton <11>. The only insult of note was a complete parry fracture of the right radius. The insult was completely healed and was not active at the time of death. There was no discernible difference between the right and left radius and ulna in terms of cortical thickness or density <11>. The asymmetry documented in humeral MA for the Motmot individual suggests a slightly expanded medullary space in the right humerus (Table 3).

While these differences seem slight, the comprehensive picture is one of subtle physiological adaptation following trauma. As a cautionary note, while inter-individual patterning of cross-sectional properties may be useful, they do not necessarily provide an accurate characterization of the orientation of loads to which these bones were subjected <28>. That is, while we may reliably discuss the relative redistribution of cortical bone in Yak K'uk Mo' and Motmot individually, discussion should not attempt to describe the nature of the loadings.

Paleoradiography vastly increases our ability to document the pathological processes that affected these centuries old individuals during their lifetime. Additionally, the utilization of radiographs allowed us to expand our understanding of how these processes may have affected biomechanics, and subsequently, long bone geometric properties. That is, not only were we able to document *what* processes occurred, but we were able to address *how* these processes actually affected the individual. The flexibility of the technology to accommodate a wide range of

research conditions and the broad spectrum of questions that can be addressed, make paleoradiography a valuable research tool.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Funding for the original osteological analysis of the remains was provided by the National Geographic Society, the National Science Foundation, and Texas A&M University. Permission for study was granted by the Oscar Cruz and the Instituto de Hondureño de Antropología y Historia and by Robert Sharer and William and Barbara Fash of the Copan Acropolis Project. The assistance of Ellen Bell, Marcello Canuto, Lynn Grant, Christopher Powell, David Sedat, and Loa Traxler has been vital to the project. The field radiographic portion of the research was financed by FAMSI and the Ahau Foundation. KCN would also like to thank Jerry Conlogue, Ron Beckett, and Rick Carlton.

Table 1: Body-size standardized cortical areas<sup>a</sup> and second moments of area<sup>b,c</sup> for K'inich Yax K'uk' Mo' at mid-distal (35%), midshaft (50%), and mid-proximal (65%).

Property <sup>a</sup>	Left Humerus			Right Humerus		
	35%	50%	65%	35%	50%	65%
CA	44.32	39.73	40.55	44.49	38.15	37.59
MA	49.11	63.23	83.05	62.24	74.82	74.34
TA	93.43	102.96	123.60	106.72	112.98	111.93
%CA	16.23	13.21	11.22	14.27	11.56	11.49

  

Property <sup>b</sup>	Left Humerus			Right Humerus		
	35%	50%	65%	35%	50%	65%
I <sub>x</sub>	255.17	241.72	370.37	291.93	268.06	283.56
I <sub>y</sub>	213.99	246.33	259.49	262.71	262.05	236.58
J	469.16	488.05	629.86	554.64	530.11	520.13

  

Property <sup>c</sup>	Left Humerus			Right Humerus		
	35%	50%	65%	35%	50%	65%
I <sub>x</sub>	520.72	493.27	755.81	595.74	547.03	578.65
I <sub>y</sub>	436.67	502.69	529.53	536.10	534.75	482.77
J	957.39	995.95	1285.33	1131.83	1081.78	1061.42

<sup>a</sup> Cortical areas were standardized over humeral biomechanical length<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> Second moments of area were standardized over humeral biomechanical length<sup>5,33</sup>.

<sup>c</sup> Second moments of area were standardized over humeral biomechanical length<sup>4</sup>.

Table 2: Body-size standardized cortical areas<sup>a</sup> and second moments of area<sup>b,c</sup> for Motmot at mid-distal (35%), midshaft (50%), and mid-proximal (65%).

Properties <sup>a</sup>	Left Humerus			Right Humerus		
	35%	50%	65%	35%	50%	65%
CA	65.04	70.94	62.83	65.67	72.82	68.06
MA	30.82	31.76	35.46	28.27	35.91	34.29
TA	95.85	102.70	98.29	93.93	108.73	102.34
%CA	30.58	31.13	28.81	32.54	31.17	30.95

  

Properties <sup>b</sup>	Left Humerus			Right Humerus		
	35%	50%	65%	35%	50%	65%
I <sub>x</sub>	321.97	372.35	286.56	289.29	380.05	328.57
I <sub>y</sub>	255.06	293.88	298.21	265.53	348.05	313.44
J	577.03	666.23	584.78	554.82	728.10	642.02

  

Properties <sup>b</sup>	Left Humerus			Right Humerus		
	35%	50%	65%	35%	50%	65%
I <sub>x</sub>	581.57	672.56	517.61	515.13	676.73	585.07
I <sub>y</sub>	460.70	530.83	538.65	472.81	619.77	558.14
J	1042.27	1203.39	1056.26	987.94	1296.50	1143.22

<sup>a</sup> Cortical areas were standardized over humeral biomechanical length<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> Second moments of area were standardized over humeral biomechanical length<sup>5,33</sup>

<sup>c</sup> Second moments of area were standardized over humeral biomechanical length<sup>4</sup>

Table 3: Bilateral asymmetry values at mid-distal (35%), midshaft (50%), and mid-proximal (65%) based upon raw humeral diaphyseal dimensions for K'inich Yak K'uk Mo and Motmot after being corrected for magnification.

	Yak K'uk Mo'				Motmot		
	35%	50%	65%		35%	50%	65%
Property				Property			
CA	0.36	4.13	7.88	CA	2.28	0.60	4.89
MA	26.72	18.33	11.72	MA	12.59	9.46	6.80
TA	14.22	9.73	10.43	TA	5.38	2.51	0.83
%CA	13.84	14.26	2.36	%CA	3.03	3.13	4.03

## **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1: Regional map of Maya world.

Figure 2: (A) Superior-inferior and (B) anterior-posterior radiograph of Motmot's skull.

Figure 3: (A) Anterior-posterior and (B) medial-lateral radiograph of Yak K'uk Mo's right radius.

Figure 4: (A) Anterior-posterior and (B) medial-lateral radiograph of Yak K'uk Mo's right ulna.

Figure 5: Anterior-posterior radiograph of Yak K'uk Mo's sternum (black arrow marks formation of radiodense bone at altered manubrium/gladiolus articulation as noted in text).

Figure 6: (A) Anterior-posterior and (B) medial-lateral radiograph of Yak K'uk Mo's left scapula.

Figure 7: (A) Anterior-posterior radiograph (B) anterior-posterior photo of Yak K'uk Mo's left humerus.

Figure 8: Anterior-posterior radiograph of Motmot individual's right forearm.

## LITERATURE CITED

1. Canuto MA, Bell EE, Sharer RJ. Understanding Early Classic Copan: A Classic Maya Center and Its Investigation. In: Bell EE, Marcello AC, Sharer RJ, editors. *Understanding Early Classic Copan*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; 2004. p. 1–14.
2. Bell EE, Sharer RJ, Traxler LP, Sedat DW, Carrelli CW, Grant LA. Tombs and Burials in the Early Classic Acropolis at Copan. In: Bell EE, Marcello AC, Sharer RJ, editors. *Understanding Early Classic Copan*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; 2004. p. 131–57.
3. Schele L. The Founders of Lineages at Copan and other Maya Sites. *Copan Note 8*. Report prepared for the Instituto Hondureño de Antropología e Historia, Tegucigalpa and the Copan Acropolis Project, Austin TX; 1986.
4. Sharer RJ. 1997. The Foundation of the Ruling Dynasty at Copan, Honduras: The early acropolis and Mesoamerican interaction. Paper presented at the symposium, A Tale of Two Cities: Copan and Teotihuacan, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
5. Sharer RJ, Traxler LP, Sedat DW, Bell EE, Canuto MA, Powell C. Early classic architecture beneath the Copan acropolis. *Ancient Mesoamerica* 1999;10:3-23.
6. Fash WL, Fash BW, Davis-Salazar KL. Setting the Stage: Origins of the Hieroglyphic Stairway Plaza on the Great Period Ending. In: Bell EE, Marcello AC, Sharer RJ, editors. *Understanding Early Classic Copan*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; 2004. p. 65–83.
7. Fash WL, Fash BW. Building a World View: Visual Communication in Classic Maya Architecture. *Res: Anthropology and Aesthetics* 1996;29/30:127-47.
8. Fash W L, Fash BW. Teotihuacan and the Maya: A classic heritage. In: Carrasco D, Jones L, Sessions S, editors. *Mesoamerica's classic heritage: From Teotihuacan to the Aztecs*. Boulder: University of Colorado Press; 2000. p. 433-63.
9. Williamson RV. Excavations, Interpretations and Implications of the earliest structure beneath structure 10L-26 at Copan, Honduras. In: Robertson MG, Macri MJ, McHargue J editors. *Eighth Palenque Round Table*. San Francisco: The Pre-Columbian Art Research Institute; 1996. p. 169-75.
10. Buikstra JE, Price TD, Burton JH, Wright LE. 2004. Tombs from Copan's Acropolis: A Life History Approach. In: Bell EE, Marcello AC, Sharer RJ, editors. *Understanding Early Classic Copan*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Museum of Archaeology and Anthropology; 2004. p. 191–212.



11. Nystrom KC, Buikstra JE, Braunstein E. Radiographic Evaluation of Early Classic Elites from Copan, Honduras. *Intl J Osteoarch*. In Press.
12. Ruff CB. 2000. Biomechanical Analyses of Archaeological Human Skeletons. In: Katzenberg MA, Saunders SR, editors. *Biological Anthropology of the Human Skeleton*. New York: Wiley-Liss; 2000. p. 71-102.
13. Trinkaus E, Churchill SE, Ruff CB. Postcranial Robusticity in *Homo*. II: Humeral Bilateral Asymmetry and Bone Plasticity. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1994;93:1–34.
14. Conlogue G, Nelson A. Polaroid Imaging at an Archaeological Site in Peru. *Radiol Technol* 1999;70(3): 244–50.
15. Ben-Itzhak S, Smith P, Bloom RA. Radiographic Study of the Humerus in Neandertals and *Homo sapiens sapiens*. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1988;77:231–42.
16. Ruff CB, Jones HH. Bilateral asymmetry in cortical bone of the humerus and tibia – sex and age factors. *Hum Bio* 1981;53(1):69-86
17. Chamay A, Tschantz P. Mechanical influences in bone remodeling: experimental research on Wolff’s Law. *J Biomechanics* 1972;5:173–80.
18. Goodship AE, Lanyon LE, and McFie H. Functional adaptation of bone to increased stress. *J Bone and Joint Surg* 1979;61-A:539–46.
19. Biknevicus, AR, Ruff CB. Use of Biplanar Radiographs for Estimating Cross-Sectional Geometric Properties of Mandibles. *Anat Rec* 1992;232: 157–63.
20. Runestad JA, Ruff CB, Nieh JC, Thorington RW, Teaford MR. Radiographic Estimation of Long Bone Cross-Sectional Geometric Properties. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1993; 90:207-13.
21. Churchill SE. Humeral strength to bone length scaling relationships in recent humans. *Am J Phys Anthropol Supplement* 1995;20:76-77.
22. Trinkaus E, Ruff CB, Churchill SE. 1998. Upper Limb versus lower limb loading patterns among Near Eastern Middle Paleolithic hominids. In: Akazawa T, Aoki K, Bar-Yosef O, editors. *Neandertals and Modern Humans in Western Asia*. New York: Plenum Press; 1998. p. 391–404.
23. Trinkaus E, Churchill SE. Diaphyseal cross-sectional geometry of Near Eastern Middle Paleolithic humans: The Humerus. *J Arch Sci* 1999;26:173–84.
24. Ruff CB. Biomechanics of the hip and birth in early *Homo*. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1995;98:527–74.

25. Larsen, CS. *Bioarchaeology: Interpreting behavior from the human skeleton*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1997.
26. Ruff CB, Hayes WC. Subperiosteal expansion and cortical remodeling of the human femur and tibia with aging. *Science* 1982;217:945–48.
27. Larsen CS, Ruff CB, Kelly RL. 1995. Structural Analysis of the Stillwater Postcranial Human Remains: Behavioral Implications of Articular Joint Pathology and Long Bone Diaphyseal Morphology. In: Larsen CS, Kelly RL, editors. *Bioarchaeology of Stillwater Marsh: Prehistoric Human Adaptation in the Western Great Basin*. *Anthropological Papers of the American Museum of Natural History* No. 77; 1995. p. 107–33.
28. Lieberman DE, Polk JD, Demes B. Predicting long bone loading from cross-sectional geometry. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 2004;123:156–71.